



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 07/10/1998 09/112,777

KIA SILVERBROOK

ART24-US

7299

7590

05/07/2002

KIA SILVERBROOK SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN NSW, 2041 **AUSTRALIA**

EXAMINER BRIER, JEFFERY A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2672 DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Anniestian No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/112,777	SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffery A. Brier	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	<u> March 2002</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/112,777

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 13 four lines from the bottom "PN" should be "Pn"; on page 14 line 13 "1-N5" should be "N1-N5"; and on page 14 line 20 "place" should be "placed".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims claim and the specification at page 14 lines 25-26 describes producing strokes which emanate <u>from</u> objects of interest within the image while the specification does not state how the objects themselves will be painted. Van Gogh would surely have painted the objects as well as the area of the image from the objects. Thus, applicant has not enabled one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants invention to create a Van Gogh painting with an emulation Van Gogh's brush strokes.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/112,777

Art Unit: 2672

- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This non original claim claims discarding features having a size less than 20 pixels. Page 8 lines 9 and 10 of applicants originally filed specification describes "Preferably, only edges having an length greater than a predetermined minimum are retained in the list". Thus, applicants originally filed specification does not convey that applicant had possession of that which applicant claims in claim 7.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Amended claim 1 claims discarding features having a size less than a predetermined size. Page 8 lines 9 and 10 of applicants originally filed specification describes "Preferably, only edges having an length greater than a predetermined minimum are retained in the list". Thus, applicants specification described removing edges that are short and claim 1 claims removing features that are small. The claimed features are not the described edges. Thus, applicants originally filed specification does not convey that applicant had possession of that which applicant claims in claims 1-4 and 7.

Application/Control Number: 09/112,777

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

7. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 10/30/2000. These drawings are acceptable.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hertzman et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,011,536, describes placing long brush strokes along the isocontour lines (column 7 line 53) of an image.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (703) 305-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713).

Application/Control Number: 09/112,777

Art Unit: 2672

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Jeffery A Brier Primary Examiner Art Unit 2672